UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/565,325 | 12/08/2006 | Clemens Ottermann | 2133.122USU | 2196 |
| Charles N. J. Ru | 7590 02/26/201 1ggiero | EXAMINER | | |
| Ohlandt, Greele | y, Ruggiero & Perle, I | GRAMLING, SEAN P | | |
| One Landmark Square, 10th Floor Stamford, CT 06901-2682 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2875 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/26/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|----------------|----|--|
| 10/565,325 | OTTERMANN ET A | L. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| SEAN P. GRAMLING | 2875 | | |

| | SEAN F. GRAWLING | 2873 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH). | g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | ut prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause |
| (a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further con | • | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| appeal; and/or | arraga and in a number of finally rei | a ata di ala ima | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected ciaims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ² 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amandmant (| DTOL 224) |
| | | impliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. | | timely filed emendmen | at acanading the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale, | umery med amendmen | it canceling the |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Sandra L. O'Shea/ | Sean P Gramling | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2875 | Examiner Art Unit: 2875 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of independent claim 33 changes the scope of the invention. Amended claim 33 does not just incorporate the limitation of dependent claim 34, it positively recites that the light-scattering structures are "applied to the surface of the light-scattering area". Moreover, the scope of claims 35-46, 49-61 and 65-66 are changed by the Amendment because they are now dependent a claim that includes light-scattering structures applied to the surface of the light-scattering area. Accordingly the amendment requires additional consideration and/or search by Examiner.